	Case 2:06-cv-01383-JCC Docu	ment 2 Filed 09/27/06 Page 1 of 3
0.1		
01		
02		
03		
04		
06		
07		
08	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
09	AT SEATTLE	
10	PAUL SIMONDS,	) Case No. C06-1383-JCC-JPD
11	Plaintiff,	)
12	v.	) ) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	JUDGE CANBY, et al.,	) )
14	Defendants.	) )
15		_)
16	Plaintiff, appearing <i>pro se</i> , recently filed an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i>	
17	(IFP) in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Dkt. No. 1. Plaintiff included with his application a	
18	one-and-a-half page "Complaint" listing the last names of two Ninth Circuit judges, two Ninth	
19	Circuit motion attorneys, and a person who appears to be a deputy clerk. <i>Id.</i> Apart from the	
20	words "willful misconduct," "abusing contempt power," and "due process," and an	
21	indecipherable flurry of isolated references to a host of federal statutes, local rules and Federal	
22	Rules of Civil Procedure, the complaint contains no further information regarding plaintiff's	
23	claims. <i>Id.</i> Nor does it provide a request for relief, apart from the vague desire "[t]o	
24	effectuate full and complete justice." <i>Id.</i>	
25	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), this Court may deny an application to proceed	
26	IFP and should dismiss an action if, among other things, it is frivolous or the complaint fails to	
	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION	

state a claim upon which relief can be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(ii); *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990). An action is frivolous if "it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).

Here, plaintiff fails to allege any facts to place defendants on notice of the nature of his claims, to request any relief, or to otherwise provide any basis for jurisdiction in this Court. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The complaint also appears to name as defendants governmental actors who enjoy immunity from suit. *See*, *e.g.*, *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 427 (1976); *Pierson v. Ray*, 386 U.S. 547, 553-54 (1967). Because this action appears frivolous and fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, it is subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

The Court advises plaintiff of his responsibility to research the facts and law before filing an action to determine whether his action is frivolous. If he files a frivolous action, he may be sanctioned. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 11. The court would likely impose a sanction of dismissal on any frivolous action. If plaintiff files numerous frivolous or malicious actions, the court may bar him from proceeding IFP in this court. *See DeLong v. Hennessey*, 912 F.2d 1144, 1146-48 (9th Cir. 1990) (discussing bar order requirements).<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, because of the deficiencies in plaintiff's IFP application and complaint, his request to proceed IFP should be DENIED and this action DISMISSED without prejudice. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). A proposed Order of Dismissal accompanies this Report and Recommendation. If plaintiff believes that the deficiencies outlined herein can be cured by an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court notes that plaintiff is a prolific litigator who has filed a half-dozen similar lawsuits in the past two years against various governmental officers and attorneys in this district. *See, e.g., Simonds v. Zilly*, C06-1385-RSL (W.D. Wash. 2006); *Simonds v. Fox* (II), C06-1384-RSM (W.D. Wash. 2006); *Simonds v. Fox* (I), C04-2473-JCC (W.D. Wash. 2005); *Simonds v. Canby* (I), C05-1887-JCC (W.D. Wash. 2005). Indeed, a strikingly similar action previously filed by plaintiff in this district was dismissed on grounds similar to those recommended by the Court today. *See Canby* (I), C05-1887-JCC, Dkt. No. 12 (dismissing case for failure to comply with court's order to show cause; court remained "unable to decipher Plaintiff's intent and meaning from his filings").

amendment to his Complaint, he should lodge an Amended Complaint as a part of his objections, if any, to this Report and Recommendation. DATED this 27th day of September, 2006. ames P. Donoaue AMES P. DONOHUE United States Magistrate Judge

**REPORT & RECOMMENDATION** PAGE - 3